

537, 051

10/537051

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property  
Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
17 June 2004 (17.06.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 2004/050201 A1**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **A63F 9/24**

(21) International Application Number:  
PCT/US2003/038068

(22) International Filing Date: 2 December 2003 (02.12.2003)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:  
0228219.2 4 December 2002 (04.12.2002) GB

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **WATER-LEAF LIMITED** [GB/GB]; 1st Floor, 28 Victoria Street, Douglas, Isle of Man IM1 2LE (GB).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **DANIEL, David, A.**

[US/BR]; Avenida Almirante Alvaro Alberto 100/404, Rio de Janeiro, RJ (BR). **PESSANHA, Ricardo, S.** [BR/BR]; Rua Gilberto Olimpio Mario, 125, Rio de Janeiro, RJ (BR).


(74) Agent: **MACHONKIN, Richard, A.**; McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff, Suite 3200, 300 South Wacker Drive, Chicago, IL 60606 (US).

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE,

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: **COLLUSION DETECTION AND CONTROL**



(57) Abstract: A system for detecting and controlling collusion in a game with a plurality of participating players. The system (1) includes a gaming server (2) and a number of portals (3a, 3b) in the form of websites on the World Wide Web in the Internet. Each of the portal websites is an online casino website hosted on a corresponding casino web server (not shown). Each of the casino websites (3a, 3b) is accessible by one or more would-be poker players. Each player accesses the website using a computer with a display (5) and an associated pointing device (6). The system (1) includes an administration facility (13) which communicates with the gaming server (2) along a communication channel (12). There is also a collusion detection server (14) in communication with the game server (2) via communication channel (12).

WO 2004/050201 A1



ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE,  
SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA,  
GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

— *before the expiration of the time limit for amending the  
claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of  
amendments*

**Published:**

— *with international search report*

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guid-  
ance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the begin-  
ning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

**COLLUSION DETECTION AND CONTROL****5    FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

This invention relates to a system for detecting and controlling collusion in a game, more specifically a zero-sum game and, in particular, to a system for detecting and controlling collusion in a game on which wagers may be placed  
10    such as, for example, multiplayer poker. The invention extends to a method for detecting and controlling collusion in a game.

**BACKGROUND TO THE INVENTION**

15    The invention will be described with particular reference to the detection and control of collusion in a game of multiplayer poker. It is to be clearly understood, however, that the scope of the invention is not limited to the detection and control of collusion in this particular game only.

20    The game of poker is widely played in many jurisdictions, particularly in the United States of America. A traditional game of poker is a multi-player game in which, during each turn of the game, the players compete against each other to win an accumulated jackpot ("the pot"), to which all the players have contributed to some extent by means of wagers. It is clear that the game is a zero-sum game,  
25    as the gain of the winner of the pot is equal to the accumulated losses of the other players in the game. It is, however, also known for a party who arranges or hosts a game of poker to levy a commission ("a rake") on the pot in order to derive revenue.

30    The game of poker is played at both land-based and on-line casinos, at the latter by means of a communication network such as the Internet.

- 2 -

Although there are many variations of the game of poker, the basic aim of the game is for each player to assemble five playing cards ("a hand") from a deck of cards and to wager that their hand will outrank those of the other players, according to predetermined criteria. Once the players' hands have been assembled and wagers placed, the respective hands are compared ("the show down") in order to determine the winning player, who wins the pot.

The winner is usually the player whose hand contains a highest-ranking desirable combination of five playing cards. The desirability of any combination of five playing cards in a hand is inversely proportional to the probability of assembling that particular combination of cards. Desirable combinations of playing cards are, given a standard deck of 52 playing cards, in order of increasing desirability: a pair of cards having the same rank ("one pair"); two pairs of cards in which the rank of each pair is different ("two pairs"); three cards having the same rank ("three of a kind"); "a straight" in which the five cards of a hand are in sequentially increasing rank order, with no restriction as to suite, "a flush" in which the five cards are all of the same suite; "a full house" in which three cards are each of the same rank, while the remaining two cards each have another identical rank; "four of a kind" in which four cards of the hand each have the same rank; "a straight flush" in which the five cards are in sequentially ascending rank order and are all of the same suite; and a "royal flush" in which the five cards are all of the same suite and are ranked Ace, King, Queen, Jack and 10. Where a deck is used that has fewer than 52 cards, the probability of assembling a full house is greater than that of being dealt a flush, making the latter combination of cards more desirable than the former.

The placement of wagers is achieved by one or more rounds of betting during the course of a turn of the game. The first player to act in a betting round can place a wager ("to bet"), withdraw from the turn of the game ("to fold"), or do nothing, merely passing the opportunity on to the next player ("to check"). After an initial bet, if there is one, the rest of the players, in turn, have the choices of increasing the size of the wager ("to raise"), folding, or matching the size of the previous wager ("to call"). A round of betting is completed when all the players who have

- 3 -

not folded, and who will be referred to, for convenience, as the surviving players, have contributed the same amount to the pot. Any player who decides to fold does not participate any further in the particular turn of the game and forfeits all wagers he has made in that turn. To keep betting rounds from continuing indefinitely, it is customary for there to be at most three raises per betting round.

In each turn of the game, one player assumes the role of dealer of the playing cards. Betting is always done in a clockwise order. The first player to act is the one immediately clockwise of the dealer. Consequently, the dealer is the last to bet and, having seen the actions of the other players in the betting round, has access to the most information and is in a stronger position to the other players. For reasons of equity, the role of dealer passes to the next player in a clockwise direction after each turn of the game. When the game of poker is played in an on-line environment, the role of dealer is a symbolic one as dealing of cards is performed under software program control.

The size of bets or raises is determined by the rules of the game. A game of poker is characterised by the sizes of permissible bets. During the first two betting rounds, all bets and raises must be of a predetermined size ("the minimum bet") and must be of another, but greater, predetermined size ("the maximum bet") during the remaining betting rounds. Typically, the maximum bet is twice the minimum bet. A game in which the minimum bet is \$5 and the maximum bet is \$10 is characterised as a \$5/\$10 game.

At the start of a turn of the game, one or more players immediately clockwise of the dealer may be required to make bets ("blinds") without having seen any cards, in order to ensure that there is always something in the pot. As an alternative, each player may be required to make an initial wager of a predetermined size ("an ante").

30

It will be appreciated that the game of poker is a mixed game, combining elements of both chance and skill or strategy. It is known for two or more players in a poker game to co-ordinate their respective playing strategies in order to gain

- 4 -

an advantage over the remaining players in the game, thereby destroying the fairness of the game.

- Most variations of the game of poker fall into two main classes, namely draw poker and stud poker. In stud poker, one or more cards dealt to each player is visible to the other players in the game, while in draw poker, the cards in a players' hand are only revealed at the showdown stage of the game. Stud games also tend to have more betting rounds than draw poker, resulting in larger pots.
- Within these two main classes, there are other variations that relate, mainly, to the steps by which a player may assemble five playing cards in order to obtain the highest possible ranking hand. It will be further appreciated that the detection of collusion in a game of poker will depend, in part, upon the particular variation of the game being played. For the sake of clarity, the sequential steps relating to a number of the most popular variations of the game will be outlined below.

a) 5 CARD DRAW

1. Each player is dealt five cards face down
2. 1<sup>st</sup> Betting round
3. Each player may discard any one or more cards in his hand and draw replacement cards with the goal of improving his hand ("the draw")
4. 2<sup>nd</sup> Betting round
5. Showdown (highest ranking hand wins the pot)

b) 5 CARD STUD

1. A first card is dealt face down to each player
2. A second card is dealt face up to each player
3. 1<sup>st</sup> Betting round
4. A third card is dealt face up to each player

- 5 -

5. 2<sup>nd</sup> Betting round
6. A fourth card is dealt face up to each player
7. 3<sup>rd</sup> Betting round
8. A fifth card is dealt face up to each player
- 5 9. 4<sup>th</sup> Betting round
10. Showdown (highest ranking hand wins the pot)

c) TEXAS HOLD 'EM

- 10 1. A first two cards are dealt face down to each player ("hole cards")
2. 1<sup>st</sup> Betting round
3. A first three community cards are dealt face up ("the flop")
4. 2<sup>nd</sup> Betting round
5. A fourth community card is dealt face up ("the turn")
- 15 6. 3<sup>rd</sup> betting round
7. A fifth and final community card is dealt face up ("the river")
8. 4<sup>th</sup> Betting round
9. Showdown (highest ranking hand made up of any combination of a player's two hole cards and the five open community cards)

20

D) OMAHA

1. Four hole cards are dealt face down to each player
2. 1<sup>st</sup> Betting round
- 25 3. A first three community cards are dealt face up (the flop)
4. 2<sup>nd</sup> betting round
5. A fourth community card is dealt face up (the turn)
6. 3<sup>rd</sup> Betting round
7. A fifth and final community card is dealt face up (the river)
- 30 8. 4<sup>th</sup> Betting round
9. Showdown (highest ranking hand made up of any combination of two hole cards and three open community cards)

- 6 -

e) OMAHA HI/LO

5 The procedural rules of this variation of the game of poker are identical to those of the Omaha variation, with the exception that, at the showdown, the pot is split 50/50 between the highest ranking hand made up of any combination of a player's four hole cards and the three open community cards, and a best qualifying low hand. A low hand must be "8 or lower" to qualify, thus any hand that contains a 9 or higher cannot qualify as a low hand. The winning qualifying  
10 low hand is determined firstly by the player with the lowest high card. Upon a tie, the hand goes to the player with the next lowest high card. If there is no qualifying low hand, the high hand wins the entire pot.

f) 7 CARD STUD

15

1. All players ante
2. Two hole cards are dealt face down to each player
3. A third card is dealt face up to each player (door card")
4. 1<sup>st</sup> Betting round
- 20 5. A fourth card is dealt face up to each player ("Fourth Street")
6. 2<sup>nd</sup> Betting round
7. A fifth card is dealt face up to each player ("Fifth Street")
8. 3<sup>rd</sup> Betting round
9. A sixth card is dealt face up to each player ("Sixth Street")
- 25 10. 4<sup>th</sup> Betting round
11. A seventh and last card is dealt face up to each player ("Seventh Street")
12. 5<sup>th</sup> Betting round
- 30 13. Showdown (highest ranking hand made up of any combination of five of the player's seven cards)

On the first round of betting, the low card by suite is required to initiate action with a bet equal to half the lower table limit, the suites being ranked as spades,



- 7 -

hearts, diamonds and clubs, from highest to lowest. On subsequent betting rounds, the highest exposed hand to date initiates betting action

5 g) 7 CARD STUD HI/LO

The procedural rules of this variation of the game of poker are identical to those of the 7 Card Stud variation, with the exception that, at the showdown, the pot is split 50/50 between the best high hand and the best qualifying low hand. Each  
10 player can use any five cards in his hand for the high hand, and any five cards in his hand for the low hand.

h) RAZZ

15 The procedural rules of this variation of the game of poker are identical to those of the 7 Card Stud variation, with the exception that the lowest hand wins the pot. Each player can use any five of the seven cards in his hand for the low hand. Aces are low and straights and flushes have no effect on the value of the hand. The best possible low hand is 5-4-3-2-Ace.

20

i) MANILA (2 CARD)

This variation of the game of poker is played with a reduced deck of 32 cards (sevens to aces), accommodating a maximum of 11 players.

25

1. Two hole cards are dealt face down to each player
2. A first community card is dealt face up
3. 1<sup>st</sup> Betting round
4. The next card in the deck is discarded ("burnt")
- 30 5. A second community card is dealt face up (Fourth Street)
6. 2<sup>nd</sup> Betting round
7. The next card in the deck is burnt
8. A third community card is dealt face up (Fifth Street)

- 8 -

9. 3<sup>rd</sup> Betting round

10. The next card in the deck is burnt

11. A fourth community card is dealt face up (Sixth Street)

12. 4<sup>th</sup> Betting round

5 13. The next card in the deck is burnt

14. A fifth community card is dealt face up (Seventh Street)

15. 5<sup>th</sup> Betting round

16. Showdown (highest ranking hand made up of a player's two hole cards  
and the five open community cards)

10

### **OBJECT OF THE INVENTION**

It is an object of this invention to provide a system for detecting and controlling  
collusion in a game, and a method for detecting and controlling collusion in a  
15 game that will, at least partially, enable minimisation of any prejudice that such  
collusion can cause to non-colluding players in the game.

### **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

20 In accordance with this invention there is provided a system for detecting and  
controlling collusion in a game having a plurality of participating players,  
comprising:

recording means for recording, for each player, an amount wagered on each turn  
of the game in which the player participates, and a corresponding outcome of  
25 said wager, the outcome being a complete or partial forfeit of the wager if the  
wager is unsuccessful, and a profit made on the wager if the wager is successful;  
a ranking facility operable to derive a primary statistic for each player, the primary  
statistic being a function of a total number of turns of the game played by the  
player, and the outcomes of the wagers made by the player in these turns of the  
30 game; and

monitoring means for monitoring the primary statistic of each player and  
generating an output when the primary statistic of any player changes by more

than a predetermined amount, the output being an indicator of possible collusion by that player.

Further features of the invention provide for the system to include a storage means capable of storing the primary statistic for each player, for the primary statistic to be a ratio of a cumulative outcome of all the player's wagers and the number of turns of the game played by the player, and for the storage means to store a primary statistic for each player in respect of each one of a number of different levels of play of the game, a level of play being determined by wagering limits applicable to the game.

Still further features of the invention provide for the system to include a control facility operable to suspend a player for whom the monitoring means has generated an output, from any further participation in the game, for the system to include geo-location means for determining the geographical location of any participating player, for the control facility to suspend any two or more players for whom the monitoring facility has generated outputs and whose geographic locations are substantially identical, from any further participation in the game, alternatively for the control facility to prevent any two or more players for whom the monitoring facility has generated outputs and whose geographic locations are substantially identical, from participating in a same instance of the game.

Yet further features of the invention provide for the ranking facility to derive a number of secondary statistics relating to each player, for one secondary statistic to be, when the primary statistic relates to a winning player, a breakdown of that player's winnings from the other players, for a further secondary statistic to be, when the primary statistic relates to a losing player, a breakdown of that player's losses to other players, for the monitoring means to generate an output if a proportion of a player's winnings from another particular player exceed a predetermined threshold, for the monitoring means to generate an output if a proportion of a player's losses to another particular player exceed a predetermined threshold, and for the monitoring means to also generate an output for that particular player.

There also provided for the game to be a variation of the game of poker.

There is further provided for the variation to be any one of Texas Hold'Em, Omaha or Omaha Hi/Lo, for the secondary statistics to include any one or more of a win ratio for all hands played to the flop stage of the game, a win ratio for all hands played to the turn stage of the game, a win ratio for all hands played to the river stage of the game, a play decision ratio of the number of hands played to the flop stage and the number of hands played to the river stage of the game, a win ratio for all hands in which the player raises in early position, a win ratio for all hands in which the player raises in mid position, a win ratio for all hands in which the player raises in late position, and a raise ratio for all raising hands held by the player.

There is still further provided for the variation to be any one of Seven Card Stud, Seven Card Stud Hi/Lo or Razz, for the secondary statistics to include any one or more of a win ratio for all hands played to fourth street, a win ratio for all hands played to fifth street, a win ratio for all hands played to sixth street, a win ratio for all hands played to seventh street, a play decision ratio of the number of hands played to fourth street to the number of hands played to seventh street, and a raise ratio for all raising hands held by the player.

There is yet further provided for the variation to be Five Card Stud, for the secondary statistics to include any one or more of a win ratio for all hands played to the third street, a win ratio for all hands played to fourth street, a win ratio for all hands played to the fifth street, a play decision ratio of the number of hands played to third street to the number of hands played to fifth street, and a raise ratio for all raising hands held by the player.

There is also provided for the variation to be Five Card Draw, for the secondary statistics to include any one or more of a win ratio for all hands played to draw, and a raise ratio for all raising hands held by the player.

- 11 -

There is also provided for the variation to be Manila, for the secondary statistics to include any one or more of a win ratio for all hands played to fourth street, a win ratio for all hands played to fifth street, a win ratio for all hands played to sixth street, a win ratio for all hands played to seventh street, a play decision ratio of  
5 the number of hands played to fourth street to the number of hands played to seventh street, and a raise ratio for all raising hands held by the player.

The invention extends to a method for detecting and controlling collusion in a game having a plurality of participating players, comprising the steps of:  
10 recording, for each player, an amount wagered on each turn of the game in which the player participates, and a corresponding outcome of said wager, the outcome being a complete or partial forfeit of the wager if the wager is unsuccessful, and a profit made on the wager if the wager is successful;  
deriving a primary statistic for each player, the primary statistic being a function of  
15 a total number of turns of the game played by the player, and the outcomes of the wagers made by the player in these turns of the game; and  
monitoring the primary statistic of each player and generating an output when the primary statistic of any player changes by more than a predetermined amount, the output being an indicator of possible collusion by that player.

20

There is further provided for storing the primary statistic for each player on a storage means, for deriving the primary statistic as a ratio of a cumulative outcome of all the player's wagers and the number of turns of the game played by the player, and for storing in the storage means a primary statistic for each  
25 player in respect of each one of a number of different levels of play of the game, a level of play being determined by wagering limits applicable to the game.

There is still further provided for the method to include the step of suspending a player for whom an output has been generated, from any further participation in  
30 the game, for the method to include the further step of determining the geographical location of any participating player, for suspending any two or more players for whom outputs have been generated and whose geographic locations are substantially identical, from any further participation in the game, alternatively

- 12 -

for preventing any two or more players for whom outputs have been generated outputs and whose geographic locations are substantially identical, from participating in a same instance of the game.

5 There is yet further provided for deriving a number of secondary statistics relating to each player, for one secondary statistic to be, when the primary statistic relates to a winning player, a breakdown of that player's winnings from the other players, for a further secondary statistic to be, when the primary statistic relates to a losing player, a breakdown of that player's losses to other players, for generating  
10 the output if a proportion of a player's winnings from another particular player exceed a predetermined threshold, for generating the output if a proportion of a player's losses to another particular player exceed a predetermined threshold, and for also generating an output for that particular player.

15 There also provided for the game to be a variation of the game of poker.

There is also provided for the variation to be any one of Texas Hold'Em, Omaha or Omaha Hi/Lo, for deriving the secondary statistics as any one or more of a win ratio for all hands played to the flop stage of the game, a win ratio for all hands  
20 played to the turn stage of the game, a win ratio for all hands played to the river stage of the game, a play decision ratio of the number of hands played to the flop stage and the number of hands played to the river stage of the game, a win ratio for all hands in which the player raises in early position, a win ratio for all hands in which the player raises in mid position, a win ratio for all hands in which the  
25 player raises in late position, and a raise ratio for all raising hands held by the player.

There is also provided for the variation to be any one of Seven Card Stud, Seven Card Stud Hi/Lo or Razz, for deriving the secondary statistics as any one or more  
30 of a win ratio for all hands played to fourth street, a win ratio for all hands played to fifth street, a win ratio for all hands played to sixth street, a win ratio for all hands played to seventh street, a play decision ratio of the number of hands

- 13 -

played to fourth street to the number of hands played to seventh street, and a raise ratio for all raising hands held by the player.

5 There is also provided for the variation to be Five Card Stud, for deriving the secondary statistics as any one or more of a win ratio for all hands played to the third street, a win ratio for all hands played to fourth street, a win ratio for all hands played to the fifth street, a play decision ratio of the number of hands played to third street to the number of hands played to fifth street, and a raise ratio for all raising hands held by the player.

10

There is also provided for the variation to be Five Card Draw, for deriving the secondary statistics as any one or more of a win ratio for all hands played to draw, and a raise ratio for all raising hands held by the player.

15 There is also provided for the variation to be Manila, for deriving the secondary statistics as any one or more of a win ratio for all hands played to fourth street, a win ratio for all hands played to fifth street, a win ratio for all hands played to sixth street, a win ratio for all hands played to seventh street, a play decision ratio of the number of hands played to fourth street to the number of hands played to  
20 seventh street, and a raise ratio for all raising hands held by the player.

### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

One embodiment of the invention is described below, by way of example only,  
25 and with reference to the abovementioned drawings, in which:

Figure 1 is a schematic representation of a system for detecting and controlling collusion in a game, according to the invention;

30 Figure 2 is a functional representation of a stored software program of the application web server (13) of Figure 1; and

Figure 3 is a functional representation of a stored software program of the collusion detection server 14) of Figure 1.

### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

5

This embodiment of the invention will be described with particular reference to a system for detecting and controlling collusion in a game of poker. It is to be clearly understood, however, that the scope of this invention is not limited to this particular application.

10

Referring to Figure 1, a system for detecting and controlling collusion in a game of poker is indicted generally by reference numeral (1). The system (1) includes a gaming server (2) and a number of portals (3a, 3b) in the form of websites on the World Wide Web of the Internet. In this embodiment, each one of the portal websites is an online casino website hosted on a corresponding casino web server (not shown). For convenience, this particular embodiment of the invention will be described with particular reference to only two such online casino websites (3a, 3b). Each one of the casino websites (3a, 3b) is accessible by one or more would-be poker players (not shown). Each would-be poker player accesses a casino website by means of a corresponding Internet-enabled computer workstation having a display (5) and an associated pointing device (6), such a mouse or, alternatively, a touchpad. In this embodiment, casino website (3a) is shown as having one computer workstation (4) logically connected thereto, whereas casino website (3b) is shown as being logically connected to two such computer workstations. It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that such online casino websites (3a, 3b) can be logically connected to any number of computer workstations (4) simultaneously, which number is physically limited only by considerations of processing power and Internet access bandwidth.

30

The system (1) includes, further an administration facility (13) in the form of an application web server, which is communicable with the gaming server (2) along a communication channel (12). The detailed operation of the application web server (13) will be outlined in the description that follows. The system (1) also



- 15 -

includes a collusion detection server (14) that is communicable with the gaming server (2) along the communication channel (12).

5 The gaming server (2), the online casino web servers (not shown) corresponding to the online casino websites (3a, 3b), the computer workstations (4), the application web server (13) and the collusion detection server (14) are capable of communicating with each other by means of an open communication channel that is, in this embodiment, the Internet. Although the Internet is a single packet-switched communication network, it represented in Figure 1, for convenience, as  
10 separate logical communication channels (7,8, 9, 10, 11 and 12).

The application web server (13) maintains a clearing account facility (15) that has a clearing account corresponding to each one of the casino websites (3a, 3b). Analogously, each online casino web server (3a, 3b) includes a corresponding  
15 credit account facility (16a, 16b) with a credit account corresponding to each player who participates in the game of poker through one of the computer workstations (4). In the illustrated embodiment, the credit account facility (16a) therefore has one player account associated with it, while credit account facility (16b) has two associated player credit accounts.

20

The gaming server (2) operates under control of a stored program capable of enabling a predetermined maximum number, say 8, of players to participate in an instance of the game of poker. When the number of players reaches this predetermined maximum number, the stored program causes a further instance  
25 of the game to be initiated, the new instance also being capable of accommodating a further 8 players. In addition, the stored program initiates different instances of the game for each one of a number of different levels of play that are, in this embodiment, \$1/\$2, \$2/\$4, \$5/\$10, \$10/\$20, \$20/\$40, fixed limit games over \$20/\$40, and pot limit games. In this manner the gaming server  
30 is capable, under stored program control, of spawning as many separate instances of the game as required in order to accommodate the requirement of a pool of players who desire to play the game at different levels of play, in groups

- 16 -

of a maximum of 8. Each instance of the game spawned in this manner is treated as totally independent of the other instances.

5 The online casino websites (3a, 3b) enable a player desiring to join the game to request, by means of one of the computer workstations (4), participation in the game and, once admitted to a particular instance of the game, to place a wager on a turn of that instance. Each participating player is presented with an identical graphical user interface (GUI) on the display (5) of his respective computer workstation (4) by the stored program in the gaming server (2). The GUI presents  
10 to the player a suitable display of a poker game (not shown) with appropriate icons that enable the player to make his own desired game play decisions and to monitor the progress of the game by viewing the game play decisions of the other participating players in the same instance of the game.

15 As shown in Figure 2, the stored program also provides a wagering means (17) operable by any participating player to place a wager on a turn of the game, as well as a discrimination means (18) capable of determining whether any wager placed by any one of the participating players on the turn of the instance of the game of poker is successful or unsuccessful. The stored program in the gaming  
20 server (2) also maintains a dynamic register (19) of all players admitted to, and actively participating in, all the spawned instances of the poker from time to time, together with data representative of a corresponding portal (3a, 3b) through which each participating player accessed the game. The dynamic register (19) also contains data representative of an instance of the game in which the player  
25 is participating. The application web server (13) also settles the wagers of the participating players after completion of every turn of all instances of the game.

In use, a player wishing to participate in the game of poker uses a computer workstation (4) to access an online casino website (3a, 3b) of his choice. The  
30 player is presented with an icon (not shown) on the GUI on his computer workstation (4), which the user can activate in order to request participation in the poker game at a desired level of play. The user's request for participation is

- 17 -

passed by the online casino website (3a, 3b) to the gaming server (2), which adjudicates and processes the request in the following manner:

- 5       1. if all existing instances of the poker game at the desired level of play are currently being played by 8 players, the existing instances of the game are all fully occupied and the would-be player cannot be admitted. The user is notified of the situation and is prompted to join a waiting list of would-be players;
- 10       2. if any one of the existing instances of the poker game at the desired level of play does have a vacancy, the would-be player is removed from the waiting list and admitted to that instance of the game and an appropriate GUI is presented to the newly-admitted player to allow him to play the game and to place wagers thereon;
- 15       3. the register of active participating players is updated to include the details of the newly-admitted player, together with data representative of the online casino website (3a or 3b) from which the player was admitted to the game, as well as the particular instance of the game to which he has been  
20       admitted;
- 25       4. when the waiting list of would-be players at any particular level of play has grown sufficiently large, say 4 or 5, the gaming server spawns a new instance of the game at that level of play to accommodate the would-be  
30       players in the waiting list, and the list is flushed; and
5. the register of active participating players is updated to include the details of all the newly-admitted players in the newly-spawned instance of the game, together with data representative of an online casino website (3a or  
30       3b) from which the players were admitted to the game, as well as the particular instance of the game to which the players have been admitted.

- 18 -

Any player is able to leave the instance of the poker game in which he is participating at any time upon completion of a turn of that instance of the game. When a participating player leaves an instance of the poker game, the player's departure results in the following actions:

5

1. the GUI corresponding to the poker game on the computer workstation is replaced by one allowing the player to select another casino game to play;
2. the departing player's details are removed from the register of active participating players; and
3. the remaining instances of the game are analysed in order to collapse any sparsely populated instances of the game and to consolidate the participating players in these instances into a single more densely-populated instance of the game.

10

15

The participating players in any instance of the game utilise the wagering means (17) to place wagers from time to time on a turn of the poker game and to effect playing decisions required during the progress of the turn, as described above. Once the turn of the game has been completed, the discrimination means (18) determines which of the players is the winner of the turn and the application web server (13) settles the wagers placed by the participating players on that turn of the instance of the game, as follows:

20

- 25 1. the gaming server (2) notifies an online casino website (3a, 3b) associated with each player who has made a wager on the turn of the game. Each online casino website (3a,3b) then debits the individual credit account of its associated player by an amount equivalent to the magnitude of that player's wager;
- 30 2. the clearing account of an online casino website (3a, 3b) associated with each player who has made a wager on the turn of the game is then

- 19 -

debited by an amount equivalent to the magnitude of that player's corresponding wager;

- 5        3. the clearing account of an online casino website (3a, 3b) associated with the player who has made the successful wager on the turn of the game is credited by an amount equivalent to the total of all the wagers inclusive of the successful wager; and
- 10      4. the gaming server (2) also notifies the online casino website (3a, 3b) associated with the successful player and that online casino website credits the individual credit account of the successful player by an amount equivalent to the total of all the wagers inclusive of the successful wager.

15      It is anticipated that the wagers placed by the participating players in the game will be made with credit purchased by such players prior to their participation in the game. For this purpose each online casino (3a, 3b) includes credit-dispensing means (not shown) capable of dispensing credit to any player who wishes to participate in the poker game. The player may purchase credit by means of conventional credit or debit card payment facilities that are well known in the art and that will not be described here in detail. Whenever a player purchases credit  
20      from the credit dispensing means, the corresponding online casino (3a, 3b) credits that player's credit account with an amount equivalent to the quantity of credit purchased by the player.

25      The above embodiment of the invention does not provide any compensation for an operator of the gaming server (2) who provides the participating players with a facility to play the poker game, or for the online casino websites (3a, 3b) that make their players available to the gaming server (2) for establishment of the poker game. In a variation of the above embodiment, the application server (13)  
30      withholds a portion of the total of all the wagers on each turn of the game as a rake for the benefit of the operator of the gaming server (2) and the online casino websites (3a, 3b). A portion of the rake is credited to the clearing account of each of the online casinos (3a, 3b) as a function of the proportion of players

- 20 -

participating in the turn of the instance of the game through that particular casino website. In this variation of the embodiment, the clearing account of the casino (3a or 3b) associated with the player who has made a successful wager on the turn of the game is credited with an amount equivalent to the total of all the wagers inclusive of the successful wager, less the amount of the rake. Analogously, the credit account of the player who has made the successful wager is credited by an amount equivalent to the total of all the wagers, inclusive of the successful wager, less the rake.

10 The collusion detection server (14) maintains a recording means in the form of a collusion detection database (20), the function of which will be described in greater detail below. The collusion detection server (14) operates under control of a stored program capable of logging the playing history of each player who participates an instance of the game of poker at some time. The playing history

15 includes an amount wagered on each turn of the game in which the player has participated, as well as a corresponding outcome of the wager. The outcome of the wager is taken to be a profit made on the wager, if successful, and an amount of the wager that is forfeited by the player if the wager is unsuccessful. In this particular embodiment, the outcome of the successful wager is thus the total of all

20 the wagers by the participating players in the turn of the instance of the game of poker, less the amount wagered by the winning player, less the amount of the rake. The logged information is recorded in the collusion detection database (20).

As shown in Figure 3, the stored program in the collusion detection server (14)

25 provides a ranking facility (21) that is operable to derive from the logged playing history of each player, a corresponding primary statistic. A player's primary statistic is re-calculated by the ranking facility (21) each time the player's playing history is updated with the outcome of a further turn of the game in which the player has participated. The derived primary statistic is stored in the collusion

30 detection database (20). The primary statistic is a player's win/loss ratio over all turns played by the player, which is calculated as:

$$\text{win/loss (all hands played)} = \frac{\text{Units}}{\text{Units}} \pm$$

- 21 -

na

where: Units +/- = sum of the outcomes of all the wagers; and  
na = number of hands played by the player.

5

The stored program in the collusion detection server (14) also provides a monitoring means (22) for continuously monitoring the primary statistic of any player in the collusion detection database (20). The monitoring means (21) generates an output in the form of a flag when the primary statistic of any player changes by more than a predetermined threshold. Such a change indicates a change in that player's pattern of play and this may serve as an indicator of possible collusion by that player that is worthy of further investigation. In order to minimise the possibility of generating spurious flags, the ranking facility (21) derives the primary statistic for a player once a playing history exceeding 300 turns of the game has been logged in the collusion detection server (14). It is anticipated that a particular player's win/loss ratio will differ according to a level at which the game is played, the player being more cautious when playing the game at a high level. For this reason, the ranking facility (21) computes a primary statistic for each player for each level at which the game may be played. In this instance, the levels of play are: games up to \$1/\$2, games from \$2/\$4 to \$5/\$10, games from \$10/\$20 to \$20/\$40, fixed limit games over \$20/\$40, Pot Limit games, and No Limit games. Thus a primary statistic for a particular level of play will only be derived by the ranking facility (21) when a playing history of 300 turns of the game has been logged for that particular level of play.

25

The stored program in the collusion detection server (14) also provides a control facility (23) that acts on the flag generated by the monitoring means (21) by suspending the corresponding player from further participation in the game of poker.

30

The ranking facility (21) also computes a number of secondary statistics relating to each player. When the primary statistic has a positive value, indicating a winning player, a first secondary statistic is a breakdown of that player's winnings

- 22 -

from the other players in the instance of the game. If an inordinate percentage of that player's winnings is derived from one or more other players, the monitoring means (22) generates a flag. Such a skewed pattern of winnings is a further indicator of possible collusion by the winning player.

5

A further secondary statistic, which is computed when the primary statistic for a player is negative, indicating a losing player, is a breakdown of that player's losses to the other players in the instance of the game. If an inordinate percentage of that player's losses are made to one or more other players, the  
10 monitoring means (22) generates a flag.

A still further secondary statistic is computed as:

raises with/without a Raising Hand =  $R / RH$

15

where:         $R$  = total number of raises; and  
                $RH$  = number of raising hands

Each game play decision by a player to raise a prior wager is analysed by an  
20 analysis facility (24) connected to the gaming server (2). The analysis facility (24) evaluates whether the game play decision was optimal in the light of the cards in the player's hand. A ratio close to 1 indicates that the player is raising correctly. A ratio significantly greater than 1 means that the player is raising too often with hands that are not adjudged to be raising hands. This indicates that the player is  
25 a poor player, or a colluder, and a flag is raised by the monitoring means (22).

30

As a yet further statistic, a player's losses arising from all raises are broken down and analysed. An inordinately high proportion of losses to one or more other participating players causes the monitoring means (22) to raise a flag.

It is anticipated that the two previous secondary statistics can be advantageously employed to quickly analyse a new participating player. With a logged playing history of only 20 or 30 turns of the game, these secondary statistics will be



- 23 -

accurate enough to enable the monitoring means (22) to raise a flag, when required.

5 The ranking facility (21) also computes further secondary statistics as a function of a variation of poker that is being played in the particular instance of the game.

Where the variation of poker being played is any one of **Texas Hold'Em**, **Omaha** or **Omaha Hi/Lo**, the following additional secondary statistics are computed:

10 1.  $\text{win/loss (hands played to Flop)} = \frac{\text{Units } +/-}{nf}$

where:  $nf$  = number of hands played to Flop

15 A positive win/loss ratio indicates that the player is making good decisions about which cards to call before the Flop. A big negative win/loss ratio indicates that the player is seeing too many Flops, which could be the result of getting good hole cards but no help from the Flops, or the player could be setting up bets and raises for another participating player,  
20 indicating collusion. In this instance, the monitoring means (22) generates a flag.

2.  $\text{win/loss (hands played to Turn)} = \frac{\text{Units } +/-}{nt}$

25

where:  $nt$  = number of hands played to Turn

As above, the monitoring means (22) generates a flag if this particular secondary statistic is smaller than a predetermined negative threshold.

30

3.  $\text{win/loss (hands played to River)} = \frac{\text{Units } +/-}{nr}$

- 24 -

where:  $nr$  = number of hands played to River

As above, the monitoring means (22) generates a flag if this particular secondary statistic is smaller than a predetermined negative threshold.

5

$$4. \text{ Play Decision ratio} = \frac{nf}{nr}$$

$$5. \text{ win/loss (raises in Early Position)} = \frac{\text{Units } +/-}{nre}$$

10

where:  $nre$  = number of raises in early position

15

A good player should show a significant win ratio when raising in an early position. A bad or colluding player should show significant losses. Thus the monitoring means (22) will generate a flag when this secondary statistic is smaller than a predetermined negative threshold.

20

6. The player's losses or winnings arising from raises in Early Position are broken down and analysed. An inordinately high proportion of losses to or winnings from one or more other participating players causes the monitoring means (22) to raise a flag.

25

$$7. \text{ win/loss (raises in Mid Position)} = \frac{\text{Units } +/-}{nrm}$$

where:  $nrm$  = number of raises in mid position

30

A good player should show a significant win ratio when raising in a mid position. A bad or colluding player should show significant losses. Thus the monitoring means (22) will generate a flag when this secondary statistic is smaller than a predetermined negative threshold

- 25 -

$$8. \text{ win/loss (raises in Late Position) } = \frac{\text{Units } +/-}{\text{nrl}}$$

where: nrl = number of raises in late position

5

A good player should show a significant win ratio when raising in a late position. A bad or colluding player should show significant losses. Thus the monitoring means (22) will generate a flag when this secondary statistic is smaller than a predetermined negative threshold.

10

Where the variation of poker being played is any one of **Seven Card Stud**, **Seven Card Stud Hi/Lo** or **Razz**, the following additional secondary statistics are computed:

15

$$1. \text{ win/loss (hands played to 4}^{\text{th}} \text{ Street) } = \frac{\text{Units } +/-}{\text{n4}}$$

where: n4 = number of hands played to 4<sup>th</sup> Street

20

The monitoring means (22) generates a flag if this particular secondary statistic is smaller than a predetermined negative threshold.

$$2. \text{ win/loss (hands played to 5}^{\text{th}} \text{ Street) } = \frac{\text{Units } +/-}{\text{n5}}$$

25

where: n5 = number of hands played to 5<sup>th</sup> Street

30

The monitoring means (22) generates a flag if this particular secondary statistic is smaller than a predetermined negative threshold.

$$3. \text{ win/loss (hands played to 6}^{\text{th}} \text{ Street) } = \frac{\text{Units } +/-}{\text{n6}}$$

- 26 -

where:  $n6$  = number of hands played to 6<sup>th</sup> Street

5 The monitoring means (22) generates a flag if this particular secondary statistic is smaller than a predetermined negative threshold.

$$4. \text{ win/loss (hands played to 7}^{\text{th}} \text{ Street)} = \frac{\text{Units } +/-}{n7}$$

10 where:  $n7$  = number of hands played to 7<sup>th</sup> Street

The monitoring means (22) generates a flag if this particular secondary statistic is smaller than a predetermined negative threshold.

15 Where the variation of poker being played is **Five Card Stud**, the following additional secondary statistics are computed:

$$1. \text{ win/loss (hands played to 3}^{\text{rd}} \text{ Street)} = \frac{\text{Units } +/-}{n3}$$

20

where:  $n3$  = number of hands played to 3<sup>rd</sup> Street

The monitoring means (22) generates a flag if this particular secondary statistic is smaller than a predetermined negative threshold.

25

$$2. \text{ win/loss (hands played to 4}^{\text{th}} \text{ Street)} = \frac{\text{Units } +/-}{n4}$$

30

The monitoring means (22) generates a flag if this particular secondary statistic is smaller than a predetermined negative threshold.

$$3. \text{ win/loss (hands played to 5}^{\text{th}} \text{ Street)} = \frac{\text{Units } +/-}{n5}$$

The monitoring means (22) generates a flag if this particular secondary statistic is smaller than a predetermined negative threshold.

- 5 Where the variation of poker being played is **Five Card Draw**, the following additional secondary statistic is computed:

$$1. \text{ win/loss (hands played to Draw) } = \frac{\text{Units } +/-}{n_d}$$

10

where:  $n_d$  = number of hands played to Draw

The monitoring means (22) generates a flag if this particular secondary statistic is smaller than a predetermined negative threshold.

15

Where the variation of poker being played is **Manila**, the following additional secondary statistics are computed:

$$1. \text{ win/loss (hands played to 4}^{\text{th}} \text{ Street) } = \frac{\text{Units } +/-}{n_4}$$

20

The monitoring means (not shown) generates a flag if this particular secondary statistic is smaller than a predetermined negative threshold.

25

$$2. \text{ win/loss (hands played to 5}^{\text{th}} \text{ Street) } = \frac{\text{Units } +/-}{n_5}$$

The monitoring means (22) generates a flag if this particular secondary statistic is smaller than a predetermined negative threshold.

30

$$3. \text{ win/loss (hands played to 6}^{\text{th}} \text{ Street) } = \frac{\text{Units } +/-}{n_6}$$

- 28 -

The monitoring means (22) generates a flag if this particular secondary statistic is smaller than a predetermined negative threshold.

$$4. \text{ win/loss (hands played to 7}^{\text{th}} \text{ Street)} = \frac{\text{Units } +/-}{n7}$$

5

The monitoring means (22) generates a flag if this particular secondary statistic is smaller than a predetermined negative threshold.

$$10 \quad 5. \text{ Play Decision ratio} = \frac{n4}{n7}$$

15 It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that the system (1) enables potentially fraudulent circumstances arising out of collusive play by any player to be flagged. The system (1) is then able to control the potential fraud by suspending the player from any further participation in the game. There exists a possibility, however, that such a player may have been flagged because his playing pattern arises not from collusive play, but rather from bad or unskilled play. In this instance, it is undesirable to suspend such a player from further participation in the game.

20

In order to prevent unwarranted suspension of non-colluding players, the system (1) may include a geo-location means (25). The geo-location means (25) is well known in the art and will not be described here in detail. Whenever a player accesses one of the online casino websites (3a, 3b) by means of the Internet, the player's Internet Protocol address is submitted by the corresponding casino web server (not shown) to the geo-location means (25), which returns to the casino web server a geographical location of the player. The geographical location of each participating player is passed to the collusion detection server (14) where it is stored in the collusion detection database (20) together with the player's playing history.

25  
30

- 29 -

Knowledge of the geographical location of a player can reduce the instances of incorrect suspension of a suspected colluding player. For example, the control facility may suspend any two or more players for whom the monitoring facility (not shown) has generated flags and whose geographical locations are substantially identical. Alternatively, the control facility may prevent any two or more players for whom the monitoring facility has generated outputs and whose geographical locations are substantially identical, from participating in the same instance of the game of poker.

Numerous modifications are possible to this embodiment without departing from the scope of the invention. In particular, the gaming server (2) may be directly accessible by all players through a single portal such as a poker room where poker is the only game available to would-be players, instead of through a plurality of different online casino websites (3a, 3b). In this variation of the embodiment the necessity for the clearing account facility (15) and the separate clearing account for each one of the casino websites fall away.

Further, the control facility may be dispensed with, resulting in all instances of suspected collusion that are flagged requiring further investigation by a supervisor. The supervisor is then required to decide in each case whether a player is to be suspended from play, or not.

Still further, the system (1) may be applied to any multiplayer zero-sum game on which participating players may place wagers. Further examples of such games are backgammon, bridge, gin, rummy, canasta, whist or mah-jong.

The technical problem solved by this invention is that of providing system capability to detect collusive play in multiplayer distributed online games. The system capability also solves the technical problem of preventing collusive play, after detection thereof, by automatically initiating remedial action, such as suspension or separation of colluding players who are participating in the game. The system ensures and promotes the fairness of the game.

- 30 -

The invention therefore provides a system and a method for detecting and controlling collusive play in multiplayer games.



**CLAIMS**

- 5 1. A system for detecting and controlling collusion in a game having a plurality of participating players, comprising:  
recording means for recording, for each player, an amount wagered on each turn of the game in which the player participates, and a corresponding outcome of said wager, the outcome being a complete or partial forfeit of the wager if the wager is unsuccessful, and a profit made  
10 on the wager if the wager is successful;  
a ranking facility operable to derive a primary statistic for each player, the primary statistic being a function of a total number of turns of the game played by the player, and the outcomes of the wagers made by the player in these turns of the game; and  
15 monitoring means for monitoring the primary statistic of each player and generating an output when the primary statistic of any player changes by more than a predetermined amount, the output being an indicator of possible collusion by that player.
- 20 2. A system as claimed in claim 1 which includes a storage means capable of storing the primary statistic for each player.
- 25 3. A system as claimed in claim 2 in which the primary statistic is a ratio of a cumulative outcome of all the player's wagers and the number of turns of the game played by the player.
- 30 4. A system as claimed in claim 3 in which the storage means stores a primary statistic for each player in respect of each one of a number of different levels of play of the game, a level of play being determined by wagering limits applicable to the game.
5. A system as claimed in any one of the preceding claims that includes a control facility operable to suspend a player for whom the monitoring

means has generated an output, from any further participation in the game.

- 5 6. A system as claimed in claim 5 that includes geo-location means for determining the geographical location of any participating player.
- 10 7. A system as claimed in claim 6 in which the control facility suspends any two or more players for whom the monitoring facility has generated outputs and whose geographic locations are substantially identical, from any further participation in the game.
- 15 8. A system as claimed in claim 6 in which the control facility prevents any two or more players for whom the monitoring facility has generated outputs and whose geographic locations are substantially identical, from participating in a same instance of the game.
- 20 9. A system as claimed in any one of the preceding claims in which the ranking facility derives a number of secondary statistics relating to each player.
- 25 10. A system as claimed in claim 9 in which one secondary statistic is, when relating to a winning player, a breakdown of that player's winnings from the other players.
- 30 11. A system as claimed either one of claims 9 or 10 in which a further secondary statistic is, when relating to a losing player, a breakdown of that player's losses to other players.
12. A system as claimed in claim 10 in which the monitoring means generates an output if a proportion of a player's winnings from another particular player exceeds a predetermined threshold, and also generates an output for the other particular player.

- 33 -

13. A system as claimed in claim 11 in which the monitoring means generates an output if a proportion of a player's losses to another particular player exceeds a predetermined threshold, and also generates an output for the other particular player.
- 5
14. A system as claimed in any one of the preceding claims in which the game is a variation of the game of poker.
- 15
15. A system as claimed in claim 14 in which the variation is any one of Texas Hold'Em, Omaha or Omaha Hi/Lo.
- 10
16. A system as claimed in claim 15 in which the secondary statistics includes any one or more of a win ratio for all hands played to the flop stage of the game, a win ratio for all hands played to the turn stage of the game, a win ratio for all hands played to the river stage of the game, a play decision ratio of the number of hands played to the flop stage and the number of hands played to the river stage of the game, a win ratio for all hands in which the player raises in early position, a win ratio for all hands in which the player raises in mid position, a win ratio for all hands in which the player raises in late position, and a raise ratio for all raising hands held by the player.
- 15
- 20
17. A system as claimed in claim 14 in which the variation is any one of Seven Card Stud, Seven Card Stud Hi/Lo or Razz.
- 25
18. A system as claimed in claim 17 in which the secondary statistics includes any one or more of a win ratio for all hands played to fourth street, a win ratio for all hands played to fifth street, a win ratio for all hands played to sixth street, a win ratio for all hands played to seventh street, a play decision ratio of the number of hands played to fourth street to the number of hands played to seventh street, and a raise ratio for all raising hands held by the player.
- 30

19. A system as claimed in claim 14 in which the variation is Five Card Stud.
20. A system as claimed in claim 19 in which the secondary statistics includes  
any one or more of a win ratio for all hands played to the third street, a win  
ratio for all hands played to fourth street, a win ratio for all hands played to  
the fifth street, a play decision ratio of the number of hands played to third  
street to the number of hands played to fifth street, and a raise ratio for all  
raising hands held by the player.
21. A system as claimed in claim 14 in which the variation is Five Card Draw.
22. A system as claimed in claim 21 in which the secondary statistic includes  
any one or more of a win ratio for all hands played to draw, and a raise  
ratio for all raising hands held by the player.
23. A system as claimed in claim 14 in which the variation is Manila.
24. A system as claimed in claim 23 in which the secondary statistic includes  
any one or more of a win ratio for all hands played to fourth street, a win  
ratio for all hands played to fifth street, a win ratio for all hands played to  
sixth street, a win ratio for all hands played to seventh street, a play  
decision ratio of the number of hands played to fourth street to the number  
of hands played to seventh street, and a raise ratio for all raising hands  
held by the player.
25. A method for detecting and controlling collusion in a game having a  
plurality of participating players, comprising the steps of:  
recording, for each player, an amount wagered on each turn of the game  
in which the player participates, and a corresponding outcome of said  
wager, the outcome being a complete or partial forfeit of the wager if the  
wager is unsuccessful, and a profit made on the wager if the wager is  
successful;  
deriving a primary statistic for each player, the primary statistic being a

- 35 -

function of a total number of turns of the game played by the player, and the outcomes of the wagers made by the player in these turns of the game; and

5 monitoring the primary statistic of each player and generating an output when the primary statistic of any player changes by more than a predetermined amount, the output being an indicator of possible collusion by that player.

10 26. A method as claimed in claim 25 in which the primary statistic for each player is stored on a storage means.

15 27. A method as claimed in claim 26 in which the primary statistic is derived as a ratio of a cumulative outcome of all the player's wagers and the number of turns of the game played by the player.

20 28. A method as claimed in claim 27 in which a primary statistic for each player is stored in the storage means in respect of each one of a number of different levels of play of the game, a level of play being determined by wagering limits applicable to the game.

25 29. A method as claimed in any one of claims 25 to 28 that includes the step of suspending a player for whom an output has been generated, from any further participation in the game.

30 30. A method as claimed in claim 29 that includes a further step of determining the geographical location of any participating player.

31. A method as claimed in claim 30 in which any two or more players for whom outputs have been generated and whose geographic locations are substantially identical, are suspended from any further participation in the game.

- 36 -

32. A method as claimed in claim 30 in which any two or more players for whom outputs have been generated outputs and whose geographic locations are substantially identical, are prevented from participating in a same instance of the game.
- 5
33. A method as claimed in any one of claims 25 to 32 that includes a step of deriving a number of secondary statistics relating to each player.
- 10
34. A method as claimed in claim 33 in which one secondary statistic, when relating to a winning player, is a breakdown of that player's winnings from the other players.
- 15
35. A method as claimed in either one of claims 33 or 34 in which a further secondary statistic, when relating to a losing player, is a breakdown of that player's losses to other players.
- 20
36. A method as claimed in claim 34 that includes a step of generating an output if a proportion of a player's winnings from another particular player exceeds a predetermined threshold, and also generating an output for the other particular player.
- 25
37. A method as claimed in claim 35 that includes a step of generating an output if a proportion of a player's losses to another particular player exceeds a predetermined threshold, and for also generating an output for the other particular player.
- 30
38. A method as claimed in any one of claims 25 to 37 in which the game is a variation of the game of poker.
39. A method as claimed in claim 38 in which the variation is any one of Texas Hold'Em, Omaha or Omaha Hi/Lo.

- 37 -

5 40. A method as claimed in claim 39 in which the secondary statistics are derived as any one or more of a win ratio for all hands played to the flop stage of the game, a win ratio for all hands played to the turn stage of the game, a win ratio for all hands played to the river stage of the game, a play decision ratio of the number of hands played to the flop stage and the number of hands played to the river stage of the game, a win ratio for all hands in which the player raises in early position, a win ratio for all hands in which the player raises in mid position, a win ratio for all hands in which the player raises in late position, and a raise ratio for all raising hands held by the player.

10

41. A method as claimed in claim 38 in which the variation is any one of Seven Card Stud, Seven Card Stud Hi/Lo or Razz.

15 42. A method as claimed in claim 41 in which the secondary statistics are derived as any one or more of a win ratio for all hands played to fourth street, a win ratio for all hands played to fifth street, a win ratio for all hands played to sixth street, a win ratio for all hands played to seventh street, a play decision ratio of the number of hands played to fourth street to the number of hands played to seventh street, and a raise ratio for all raising hands held by the player.

20

43. A method as claimed in claim 38 in which the variation is Five Card Stud.

25 44. A method as claimed in claim 43 in which the secondary statistics are derived as any one or more of a win ratio for all hands played to the third street, a win ratio for all hands played to fourth street, a win ratio for all hands played to the fifth street, a play decision ratio of the number of hands played to third street to the number of hands played to fifth street, and a raise ratio for all raising hands held by the player.

30

45. A method as claimed in claim 38 in which the variation is Five Card Draw.

- 38 -


46. A method as claimed in claim 45 in which the secondary statistics are derived as any one or more of a win ratio for all hands played to draw, and a raise ratio for all raising hands held by the player.

5      47. A method as claimed in claim 38 in which the variation is Manila.


10      48. A method as claimed in claim 47 in which the secondary statistics are derived as any one or more of a win ratio for all hands played to fourth street, a win ratio for all hands played to fifth street, a win ratio for all hands played to sixth street, a win ratio for all hands played to seventh street, a play decision ratio of the number of hands played to fourth street to the number of hands played to seventh street, and a raise ratio for all raising hands held by the player.




Figure 1



**Figure 2**



**Figure 3**



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US03/38068

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(7) : A63F 9/24

US CL : 463/12

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 463/12

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 4,531,187 A (UHLAND) 23 June 1985(23.06.1985), Abstract, Fig 1, Cols 1-2	1-8, 25-32
A	US 6,104,815A (ALCORN et al.) 15 August 2000 (15.08.2000)Figs 1 & 3	6-8 & 30-32
A	US 6,283,856 B1 (MOTHWURF) 04 September 2001 (04.09.2001)Fig 8	1-8 & 25-32
A	US 6,460,848B1 (SOLTYS et al.) 08 October 2002 (08.10.2002) Fig 15	1-8 & 25-32



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T"

later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X"

document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y"

document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&"

document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

25 March 2004 (25.03.2004)

Date of mailing of the international search report

15 APR 2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703)305-3230

Authorized officer

Tom Hughes

Telephone No. (703) 308-1148

*Sheila H. Venev*  
Patent Specialist  
Tech. Center 3700

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US03/38068

## Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of Item 1 of first sheet)

This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claim Nos.:  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☐ Claim Nos.:  
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☒ Claim Nos.: 9-24, 33-48  
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

## Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

☐  
☐

The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.

No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.